



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,509	10/28/2003	Gary G. Schwartz	SCZ-102	5435
7590 Ted W. Whitlock 5323 SW 38th Avenue Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33312				
		EXAMINER FETTEROLF, BRANDON J		
		ART UNIT 1642		
		PAPER NUMBER		
		MAIL DATE 08/19/2008		
		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/695,509

**Applicant(s)**

SCHWARTZ ET AL.

**Examiner**

BRANDON J. FETTEROLF

**Art Unit**

1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRANDON J. FETTEROLF.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ted Whitlock.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible claim language to overcome rejection under 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, as well as, the obviousness rejection of claims 20-22, 24, 26-29, 31 and 33. Applicants will submit amendments and arguments in response to this interview in their reply to the non-final office action of 4/10/2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brandon J Fetterolf/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1642

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080814